

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/052,815	01/18/2002	Phillip L. Wimmer	10012053-1	3187
75	590 03/11/2005		EXAM	INER
HEWETT-PACKARD COMPANY			FULLER, ERIC B	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			1762	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/052,815	WIMMER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eric B Fuller	1762			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 L	December 2004.				
,					
,—	,—				
closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27,30 and 33-36 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 27 and 30 is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 and 33-36 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.				
0)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	: Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicat  Ority documents have been receive  Ority (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	<b>∆</b> □  -4	(PTO 413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ol>	, =				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> .	6) Other:	હુ			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group 1, claims 1-26 and 33-36, in the reply filed on December 17, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that the article claims require the limitation of laser roughening. This is not found persuasive because laser roughening is a method limitation, while the claims are drawn to product. A product made my any other method that would still result in the same product, i.e. etching, would still read as being the same product.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 10, 11, 18, 19, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Slysh (US 5,147,680).

Slysh teaches to roughen a substrate by irradiating the surface with a laser in order to increase the adhesion of a layer to be applied (abstract). A mask may be used to control the areas on ablation (column 2, lines 15-30). Additionally, since some dependent claims are drawn to the initiator may being substrate material that has

Art Unit: 1762

already been ablated and the art does not teach to blow the ablated material away from the substrate, this is inherent to the process.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 and 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drazl et al. (US 6,565,927 B1) in view of Slysh (US 5,147,680).

Drazl teaches to pattern a substrate by irradiating the surface with UV light in order to increase the adhesion of a layer to be applied (column 3, lines 1-25). The water, ozone, organic particles taught in column 3, lines 28-45 reads on being the initiator. Additionally, since some dependent claims are drawn to the initiator may being substrate material that has already been ablated and the art does not teach to blow the ablated material away from the substrate, this is inherent to the process. The reference is silent to the optical energy being in the form of a laser.

However, Slysh teaches that a laser may be used to provide focused optical radiation for roughening a substrate with higher precision (column 2, lines 15-45). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use a laser to provide the optical radiation in the process taught by Drazl. By doing so, one would reap the benefits of increased precision.

Art Unit: 1762

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric B Fuller whose telephone number is (571) 272-1420. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks, can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**EBF** 

TIMOTHY MEEKS
PRIMARY EXAMINER